

2	Approved By:	Classification of Paper:
	Greg Myddelton, Assistant Director Commissioning)	Not Protectively Marked

### 1. Purpose of report

1.1. To seek approval for the allocation of £12,000 in the form of a crime and disorder reduction grant from the CSF to support the development of the Castle Point and Rochford Community Safety Hub.

### 2. Recommendations

2.1. Approve the allocation of the CSF grant to Rochford Council and Essex Police to fund the establishment of a co-located Community Safety Hub in Rayleigh.

### 3. Benefits of Proposal

- 3.1. This funding will provide accommodation and resources required to support the implementation of a local Community Safety Hub in Rayleigh.
- 3.2. Part of the funding will secure a co-located area of Rochford District Council's offices in Rayleigh. This will enable multi-agency partners to work collaboratively and share information and intelligence to produce better community safety outcomes.
- 3.3. The rest of the funding will cover the necessary infrastructure costs such as furniture, IT, and security arrangements within the new Hub.

### 4. Background and proposal

4.1. In 2016 the PCC made a £120,000 fund available to CSPs across Essex to support the implementation of Community Safety Hubs in every Police district in the county.

## 5. Police and Crime Plan

- 5.1. Supports priorities in the Police and Crime Plan as follows:
  - Improving crime prevention
  - Reducing youth offending and youth re-offending
  - Ensuring local solutions meet local problems

# 6. Police Operational Implications

6.1. Essex Police will co-locate with colleagues from Rochford and Castle Point local authorities.

### 7. Financial Implications

7.1. The PCC would fund a £6,000 contribution to Rochford District Council and a £6,000 contribution to Essex Police

## 8. Legal Implications

8.1. The award of the grant is subject to the PCC's standard funding agreement.

# 9. Staffing and other resource implications

9.1. There are no staffing issues

# 10. Equality and Diversity implications

10.1. There are no equality and diversity implications

# Report Approval

	So A Mil	_			
	Chief Executive/M.O				
	Chief Financial Officer				
	PCC Legal Advisor(As necessary	/			
	Decision				
	I agree the recommendations to this report				
	KCA				
	PCC/Deputy PCC				
i	Date signed 2/5/17 Location Gelius for				
	I do not agree the recommendations to this report because				
	PCC/Deputy PCC				
	Date signed Location				

The report will be signed off by the Chief Executive and CFO and the PCC Solicitor where legal implications arise.

# Reasons for non-publication (state 'None' if applicable) Signed/Print name Report for publication YES

**Publication** 

If the report is not for publication, the Chief Executive will decide if and how the public can be informed of the decision.